

Contents

Chapter	Page
ES.0 Executive Summary	ES-1
ES.1 General Overview	ES-1
ES.1.1 Introduction	ES-1
ES.1.2 Purpose and Need.....	ES-1
ES.2 Description of the Alternatives	ES-2
ES.2.1 Introduction	ES-2
ES.2.2 Project Features.....	ES-2
ES.2.3 Preferred Alternative–River with Local Plants.....	ES-4
ES.2.4 River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative	ES-7
ES.2.5 River with Combined Plant Alternative	ES-9
ES.2.6 Aqueduct with Local Plants Alternative.....	ES-10
ES.2.7 Aqueduct with Combined Plant Alternative	ES-11
ES.2.8 No Action Alternative	ES-13
ES.3 Comparative Analysis of Impacts of the Alternatives	ES-13
ES.3.1 Introduction	ES-13
ES.3.2 No Action Alternative	ES-13
ES.3.3 Comparison of Impacts	ES-14
ES.3.4 Comparison of Alternatives.....	ES-22
1.0 General Overview.....	1-1
1.1 Introduction.....	1-1
1.2 Purpose and Need	1-1
1.2.1 Purpose.....	1-1
1.2.2 Need and Background	1-2
1.3 Location of the Project.....	1-4
1.4 Authorizing Actions, Permits, and Licenses.....	1-4
1.5 Interrelated Projects.....	1-13
1.5.1 Past Interrelated Projects	1-13
1.5.2 Future Interrelated Projects.....	1-14
1.6 Alternatives.....	1-14
1.6.1 Formulation of Alternatives	1-17
1.6.2 Alternatives Selected for Analysis.....	1-19
2.0 Description of the Alternatives.....	2-1
2.1 Overview of the Alternatives	2-1
2.1.1 Project Features	2-1
2.2 Preferred Alternative–River with Local Plants.....	2-11
2.2.1 General Description.....	2-11
2.2.2 Physical Features and Other Characteristics	2-11
2.2.3 Summary of Project Detail.....	2-90
2.3 River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative.....	2-90
2.3.1 General Description.....	2-90

2.3.2 Physical Features and Other Facilities	2-90
2.3.3 Summary of Project Detail	2-102
2.4 River with Combined Plant Alternative	2-102
2.4.1 General Description	2-102
2.4.2 Physical Features and Other Characteristics	2-102
2.4.3 Summary of Project Detail	2-107
2.5 Aqueduct with Local Plants Alternative.....	2-114
2.5.1 General Description	2-114
2.5.2 Physical Features and Other Facilities	2-114
2.5.3 Summary of Project Detail	2-125
2.6 Aqueduct with Combined Plant Alternative	2-133
2.6.1 General Description	2-133
2.6.2 Physical Features and Other Characteristics	2-133
2.6.3 Summary of Project Detail	2-139
2.7 No Action Alternative	2-139
3.0 Affected Environment and Environmental Consequences	3-1
3.1 Introduction	3-1
3.2 Common Assumptions and Assessment Guidelines	3-1
3.3 Water Resources	3-3
3.3.1 Introduction	3-3
3.3.2 Description of Area of Influence	3-4
3.3.3 Methodology	3-7
3.3.4 Impact Assessment.....	3-19
3.3.5 Affected Environment	3-20
3.3.6 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation.....	3-55
3.3.7 Aqueduct with Local Plants or Combined Plant Alternatives.....	3-168
3.4 Land Use.....	3-205
3.4.1 Introduction	3-205
3.4.2 Description of Area of Influence	3-205
3.4.3 Affected Environment	3-205
3.4.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation.....	3-211
3.5 Aquatic Resources.....	3-217
3.5.1 Introduction	3-217
3.5.2 Description of Area of Influence	3-217
3.5.3 Affected Environment	3-217
3.5.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation.....	3-225
3.6 Vegetation Resources.....	3-248
3.6.1 Introduction	3-248
3.6.2 Description of Area of Influence	3-249
3.6.3 Affected Environment	3-249
3.6.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation.....	3-268
3.7 Wildlife Resources.....	3-293
3.7.1 Introduction	3-293
3.7.2 Description of Area of Influence	3-294
3.7.3 Affected Environment	3-294
3.7.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation.....	3-317
3.8 Threatened and Endangered Species	3-339

3.8.1 Introduction.....	3-339
3.8.2 Description of Area of Influence.....	3-339
3.8.3 Affected Environment.....	3-339
3.8.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-375
3.9 Recreation Resources.....	3-391
3.9.1 Introduction.....	3-391
3.9.2 Description of Area of Influence.....	3-392
3.9.3 Affected Environment.....	3-392
3.9.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-395
3.10 Cultural Resources.....	3-401
3.10.1 Introduction.....	3-401
3.10.2 Description of Area of Influence.....	3-402
3.10.3 Affected Environment.....	3-402
3.10.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-404
3.11 Transportation and Circulation	3-412
3.11.1 Introduction.....	3-412
3.11.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-415
3.12 Mineral and Energy Resources	3-424
3.12.1 Introduction.....	3-424
3.12.2 Description of Area of Influence.....	3-424
3.12.3 Affected Environment.....	3-424
3.12.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-425
3.13 Environmental Justice	3-427
3.13.1 Introduction.....	3-427
3.13.2 Description of Area of Influence.....	3-427
3.13.3 Affected Environment.....	3-427
3.13.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-428
3.14 Socioeconomics	3-434
3.14.1 Introduction.....	3-434
3.14.2 Description of Area of Influence.....	3-434
3.14.3 Affected Environment.....	3-434
3.14.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-439
3.15 Air Quality	3-461
3.15.1 Introduction.....	3-461
3.15.2 Description of Area of Influence.....	3-461
3.15.3 Affected Environment.....	3-461
3.15.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-462
3.16 Noise.....	3-464
3.16.1 Introduction.....	3-464
3.16.2 Description of Area of Influence.....	3-464
3.16.3 Affected Environment.....	3-464
3.16.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-465
3.17 Health and Safety.....	3-468
3.17.1 Introduction.....	3-468
3.17.2 Description of Area of Influence.....	3-468
3.17.3 Affected Environment.....	3-468
3.17.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation	3-469

3.18 Indian Trust Assets	3-473
3.18.1 Introduction	3-473
3.18.2 Description of Area of Influence	3-473
3.18.3 Affected Environment	3-473
3.18.4 Environmental Consequences and Mitigation.....	3-474
3.19 Irreversible and Irretrievable Commitment of Resources	3-475
3.19.1 Introduction	3-475
3.19.2 Preferred Alternative–River with Local Plants	3-475
3.19.3 River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative	3-476
3.19.4 River with Combined Plant Alternative	3-476
3.19.5 Aqueduct with Local Plants Alternative.....	3-477
3.19.6 Aqueduct with Combined Plant Alternative	3-478
4.0 Comparative Analysis of Impacts of the Alternatives	4-1
4.1 Introduction	4-1
4.2 No Action Alternative	4-1
4.3 Comparison of Impacts	4-3
4.3.1 Water Resources	4-3
4.3.2 Land Use.....	4-3
4.3.3 Aquatic Resources.....	4-4
4.3.4 Vegetation Resources.....	4-5
4.3.5 Wildlife Resources.....	4-6
4.3.6 Threatened and Endangered Species	4-7
4.3.7 Recreation Resources	4-7
4.3.8 Cultural Resources	4-8
4.3.9 Transportation and Circulation.....	4-8
4.3.10 Mineral and Energy Resources.....	4-8
4.3.11 Environmental Justice.....	4-9
4.3.12 Socioeconomics.....	4-9
4.3.13 Air Quality	4-10
4.3.14 Noise	4-10
4.3.15 Health and Safety	4-10
4.3.16 Indian Trust Assets	4-10
4.4 Comparison of Alternatives.....	4-10
5.0 Consultation and Coordination.....	5-1
5.1 Introduction	5-1
5.2 Project Planning.....	5-1
5.3 Scoping Process	5-2
5.4 Coordination During DEIS Development	5-3
5.5 DEIS Coordination	5-8
5.5.1 Request for Official Comments	5-9
5.5.2 Public Meetings	5-10
6.0 Literature Cited.....	6-1
7.0 Glossary.....	7-1
Sources	7-9
8.0 Acronyms and Abbreviations	8-1
9.0 List of Contributors.....	9-1

Tables	Page
ES.3.4-1	Environmental Impact Summary for the Preferred Alternative and Other Action Alternatives ES-23
1.4-1	Authorizing Actions, Permits, and Licenses 1-7
1.5-1	Projects Considered for Cumulative Impact Analysis..... 1-15
2.1-1	Project Features Associated with the Action Alternatives 2-3
2.1-2	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Proposed New Hatch Area WTP and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives 2-5
2.1-3	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Proposed New Las Cruces Area WTP and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives..... 2-6
2.1-4	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Proposed New Anthony Area WTP and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives..... 2-7
2.1-5	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Proposed New Upper Valley WTP and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives..... 2-8
2.1-6	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Proposed Expanded Jonathan Rogers WTP and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives..... 2-9
2.1-7	Primary Design and Operational Characteristics of the Existing Canal WTP and Associated Facilities during Phases 1, 2, and 3 for the Action Alternatives 2-10
2.2-1	Hatch Area WTP Transmission Pipelines..... 2-19
2.2-2	Las Cruces Area WTP (I-10 Site) Transmission Pipelines 2-29
2.2-3	Anthony Area WTP Transmission Pipelines..... 2-34
2.2-4	Distribution of Capacity and Number of Wells by Service Area 2-62
2.2-5	Water Rights' Conversions for the Preferred Alternative, River with Combined Plant Alternative, Aqueduct with Local Plants Alternative, and Aqueduct with Combined Plant Alternative..... 2-67
2.2-6	Water Rights' Conversions for the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative..... 2-69
2.2-7a	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 1 2-83
2.2-7b	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 2 2-85
2.2-7c	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 3..... 2-87
2.2-8	Estimated Acres of Land that Would be Temporarily Disturbed and Restored or Permanently Disturbed by Project Features during Phase 1 of the Preferred Alternative 2-90
2.3-1a	Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative, Phase 1 2-96

Tables	Page
2.3-1b	Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative, Phase 2..... 2-98
2.3-1c	Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative, Phase 3..... 2-100
2.4-1a	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 1..... 2-108
2.4-1b	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 2..... 2-110
2.4-1c	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 3..... 2-112
2.4-2	Estimated Acres of Land that Would be Temporarily Disturbed and Restored or Permanently Disturbed by Project Features during Phase 1 of the River with Combined Plant Alternative..... 2-114
2.5-1	Las Cruces Area WTP (Leasburg Site) Transmission Pipelines 2-120
2.5-2a	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the Aqueduct with Local Plants or Combined Plant Alternatives, Phase 1 2-127
2.5-2b	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the Aqueduct with Local Plants or Combined Plant Alternatives, Phase 2 2-129
2.5-2c	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the Aqueduct with Local Plants or Combined Plant Alternatives, Phase 3 2-131
2.5-3	Estimated Acres of Land that Would be Temporarily Disturbed and Restored or Permanently Disturbed by Project Features during Phase 1 of the Aqueduct with Local Plants Alternative 2-133
2.6-1	Estimated Acres of Land that Would be Temporarily Disturbed and Restored or Permanently Disturbed by Project Features during Phase 1 of the Aqueduct with Combined Plant Alternative..... 2-139
2.7-1	Expected Trends for Water, Agricultural, Biological, and Socioeconomic Resources Under the No Action Alternative 2-140
2.7-2a	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the No Action Alternative, Phase 1..... 2-143
2.7-2b	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the No Action Alternative, Phase 2..... 2-145
2.7-2c	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows by River Reach for the No Action Alternative, Phase 3..... 2-147
3.3-1	Water Rights' Conversions for the Preferred Alternative, River with Combined Plant Alternative, Aqueduct with Local Plants Alternative, and Aqueduct with Combined Plant Alternative 3-13
3.3-2	Water Rights' Conversions for the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative 3-15

Tables	Page
3.3-3	Comparison of Secondary Irrigation Season Flows, Losses, and Water Quality at Canal and Jonathan Rogers WTPs..... 3-22
3.3-4	Summary of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Elephant Butte Reservoir..... 3-24
3.3-5	Summary of Monthly Storage and Water Surface Elevation in Caballo Reservoir 3-25
3.3-6	Rio Grande Reaches and Designated Uses in New Mexico 3-26
3.3-7	Rio Grande Reaches and Designated Uses in Texas 3-27
3.3-8	Summary of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Monthly Flows in the Rio Grande—Baseline 3-28
3.3-9	Rio Grande Average Concentrations of Selected Constituents and Water Quality Parameters..... 3-30
3.3-10	Summary of Average Monthly Canal Diversions 3-31
3.3-11	Summary of Average Monthly Drain Flows..... 3-34
3.3-12	Drain Average TDS Concentration..... 3-35
3.3-13	Wastewater Treatment Plant Effluent to the Rio Grande..... 3-35
3.3-14	Irrigated Acres by County 3-53
3.3-15	Comparison of Monthly Storage in Elephant Butte Reservoir for the No Action Alternative and the Preferred Alternative..... 3-77
3.3-16	Comparison of Monthly Storage in Caballo Reservoir for the No Action Alternative and the Preferred Alternative..... 3-79
3.3-17	Comparison of Average Flow and Volume in the Rio Grande for the No Action Alternative and the Preferred Alternative, Phase 1 3-81
3.3-18	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by River Reach for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 1..... 3-86
3.3-19	Comparison of Average Water Quality Exceedances at WTPs for the No Action Alternative and the Preferred Alternative, Phase 1 3-88
3.3-20	Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Flows by Drain for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 1 3-91
3.3-21	Comparison of Average Flow and Volume in the Rio Grande for the No Action Alternative and the Preferred Alternative, Phase 2 3-92
3.3-22	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by River Reach for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 2..... 3-97
3.3-23	Comparison of Average Water Quality Exceedances at WTPs for the No Action Alternative and the Preferred Alternative, Phase 2 3-101
3.3-24	Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Flows by Drain for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 2 3-102
3.3-25	Comparison of Average Flow and Volume in the Rio Grande for the No Action Alternative and the Preferred Alternative, Phase 3 3-103
3.3-26	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by River Reach for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 3..... 3-108
3.3-27	Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Flows by Drain for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 3..... 3-112
3.3-28	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by Canal for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 1 3-125

Tables	Page
3.3-29	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average SAR by Canal for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 1 3-126
3.3-30	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by Canal for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 2 3-127
3.3-31	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average SAR by Canal for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 2..... 3-128
3.3-32	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by Canal for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 3 3-129
3.3-33	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average SAR by Canal for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 3..... 3-130
3.3-34	Comparison of Monthly Storage in Elephant Butte Reservoir for the No Action Alternative and the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative 3-135
3.3-35	Comparison of Average Flow and Volume in the Rio Grande for the No Action Alternative and the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative, Phase 1 3-137
3.3-36	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by River Reach for River with Year-Round Lower Plants, Phase 1 3-142
3.3-37	Comparison of Average Water Quality Exceedances at WTPs for the No Action Alternative and the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative, Phase 1 3-146
3.3-38	Comparison of Wet, Average, and Dry Year Average Flows by Drain for the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative, Phase 1 3-147
3.3-39	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by River Reach for River with Year-Round Lower Plants, Phase 3 3-148
3.3-40	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by Canal for River with Year-Round Lower Plants, Phase 1 3-152
3.3-41	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average SAR by Canal for River with Year-Round Lower Plants, Phase 1 3-153
3.3-42	Comparison of Average Flow and Volume in the Rio Grande for the No Action Alternative and the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 1 3-156
3.3-43	Comparison of Average Flow and Volume in the Rio Grande for No Action and River with Combined Plant, Phase 2 3-158
3.3-44	Comparison of Average Flow and Volume in the Rio Grande for No Action and River with Combined Plant, Phase 3 3-160
3.3-45	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by Canal for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 1 3-162
3.3-46	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by Canal for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 2..... 3-163
3.3-47	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by Canal for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 3..... 3-164
3.3-48	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average SAR by Canal for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 1 3-165

Tables	Page
3.3-49	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average SAR by Canal for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 2 3-166
3.3-50	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average SAR by Canal for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 3 3-167
3.3-51	Comparison of Monthly Storage in Elephant Butte Reservoir for the No Action Alternative and the Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plant Alternatives..... 3-173
3.3-52	Comparison of Average Flow and Volume in the Rio Grande for No Action Alternative and the Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plant Alternatives, Phase 1..... 3-175
3.3-53	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by River Reach for Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants, Phase 1..... 3-180
3.3-54	Comparison of Average Flow and Volume in the Rio Grande for the No Action Alternative and the Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plant Alternatives, Phase 2..... 3-184
3.3-55	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by River Reach for Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants, Phase 2..... 3-189
3.3-56	Comparison of Average Water Quality Violations at WTPs for the No Action Alternative and the Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plant Alternatives, Phase 2..... 3-193
3.3-57	Comparison of Average Flow and Volume in the Rio Grande for the No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plant Alternatives, Phase 3..... 3-194
3.3-58	Comparison of Average and Dry Year Average TDS Concentration by River Reach for Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants, Phase 3..... 3-199
3.3-59	Comparison of Average Water Quality Violations at WTPs for the No Action Alternative and the Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plant, Phase 3 3-203
3.4-1	Doña Ana County Comprehensive Plan Goals..... 3-209
3.4-2	City of Las Cruces City Plan Goals, Objectives, and Policies..... 3-209
3.4-3	City of El Paso Plan Concepts, Goals, and Policies 3-210
3.4-4	City of Socorro Comprehensive Planning Study Goals and Objectives..... 3-211
3.5-1	Fish Species Known to Occur Within the Study Area of the Rio Grande 3-223
3.5-2	Habitat Preference for the Fish Species of the Rio Grande by Lifestage (total fish community) 3-227
3.5-3	Habitat Preference for the Fish Species of the Rio Grande by Lifestage (endemic species only)..... 3-228
3.5-4	Monthly Exceedance Flows for Six Reaches of the Rio Grande Under Project Alternative Conditions (Phase 3, 2030) 3-231
3.5-5	Elephant Butte Reservoir Elevations and Amount of Shallow Reservoir Habitat for Three Water Year Types Under Project Alternative Conditions... 3-238
3.5-6	Caballo Reservoir Elevations and Amount of Shallow Reservoir Habitat for Three Water Year Types Under Project Alternative Conditions..... 3-240
3.5-7	Predicted Monthly Median Drain Flows (cfs) for the Project Alternatives (Phase 3, 2030)..... 3-245

Tables	Page	
3.6-1	Vegetation Species Identified in the Project Area Through Field Study, in Addition to Species States in Individual Sections.....	3-250
3.6-2	Vegetation Communities Present at Each Project Feature Site	3-254
3.6-3	Potential Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. Field—Checked Within the River Corridor	3-258
3.6-4	Potential Jurisdictional Waters of the U.S. Within the River Corridor Identified from NWI Maps, and Not Field Verified Because of Access Limitations.....	3-261
3.6-5	Permanent and Temporary Vegetation Impacts Associated with Each Project Feature.....	3-274
3.6-6	Water Surface Elevations in Elephant Butte Reservoir for the Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative, Phase 1 (Average Water Year)....	3-276
3.6-7	Water Surface Elevations in Caballo Reservoir for the Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative, Phase 1 (Average Water Year)....	3-276
3.6-8	Water Surface Elevations in Elephant Butte Reservoir for the Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative, Phase 2 (Average Water Year)....	3-282
3.6-9	Water Surface Elevations in Caballo Reservoir for the Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative, Phase 2 (Average Water Year)....	3-283
3.6-10	Water Surface Elevations in Elephant Butte Reservoir for the Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative, Phase 3 (Average Water Year)....	3-285
3.6-11	Water Surface Elevations in Caballo Reservoir for the Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative, Phase 3 (Average Water Year)....	3-285
3.7-1	Herptiles and Associated Habitats in the Chihuahuan Desert Province.....	3-295
3.7-2	Abundant and Common Birds and Associated Habitats in the El Paso–Las Cruces Regional Sustainable Water Project Area.....	3-299
3.7-3	List of Mammals and Associated Habitats in the El Paso–Las Cruces Regional Sustainable Water Project Area.....	3-304
3.7-4	Wildlife Habitat Ranks of Agricultural Cover Types.....	3-309
3.7-5	Comparison of WHAP Values and Wildlife Survey Data	3-309
3.7-6	Water Surface Elevations (feet) in Elephant Butte Reservoir for the Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative, Phase 2 (Average Water Year).....	3-325
3.7-7	Water Surface Elevations (feet) in Caballo Reservoir for the Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative, Phase 2 (Average Water Year)....	3-326
3.7-8	Water Surface Elevations (feet) in Caballo Reservoir for the Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative, Phase 3 (Average Water Year)....	3-327
3.7-9	Water Surface Elevations (feet) in Elephant Butte Reservoir for the Preferred Alternative and the No Action Alternative, Phase 3 (Average Water Year).....	3-328
3.7-10	Changes in Areas (Acres) of Water Less than 6 Inches Deep for the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative, Phase 1	3-332
3.7-11	Changes in Monthly Bottom Area Exposed (Acres) for Median Operational Flows for the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative, Phase 1	3-332

Tables	Page
3.7-12	Changes in Area (Acres) of Water Less than 6 Inches Deep for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 1 3-334
3.7-13	Monthly Changes in Bottom Area Exposed (Acres) for Median Operational Flows for the River with Combined Plant Alternative, Phase 1 3-334
3.7-14	Changes in Area (Acres) of Water Less than 6 Inches Deep for the Aqueduct with Local Plants or Combined Plant Alternatives, Phase 1 3-337
3.7-15	Changes in Monthly Bottom Area Exposed (Acres) for Median Operational Flows for the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative, Phase 1 3-338
3.8-1	Habitat Requirements, Range, and Presence/Absence of Suitable Habitat Within the Project Area for Federal/State Endangered, Threatened, Candidate, Rare, and Species of Concern Plants Potentially Occurring in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico and El Paso County, Texas..... 3-341
3.8-2	Habitat Requirements, Range, and Presence/Absence of Suitable Habitat Within the Project Area for Federal/State Endangered, Threatened, Candidate, Rare, and Species of Concern Insects Potentially Occurring in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico and El Paso County, Texas..... 3-347
3.8-3	Habitat Requirements, Range, and Presence/Absence of Suitable Habitat Within the Project Area for Federal/State Endangered, Threatened, Candidate, Rare, and Species of Concern Molluscs Potentially Occurring in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico and El Paso County, Texas..... 3-348
3.8-4	Habitat Requirements, Range, and Presence/Absence of Suitable Habitat Within the Project Area for Federal/State Endangered, Threatened, Candidate, Rare, and Species of Concern Reptiles and Amphibians Potentially Occurring in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico and El Paso County, Texas 3-349
3.8-5	Habitat Requirements, Range, and Presence/Absence of Suitable Habitat Within the Project Area for Federal/State Endangered, Threatened, Candidate, Rare, and Species of Concern Fish Potentially Occurring in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico and El Paso County, Texas..... 3-351
3.8-6	Habitat Requirements, Range, and Presence/Absence of Suitable Habitat Within the Project Area for Federal/State Endangered, Threatened, Candidate, Rare, and Species of Concern Birds Potentially Occurring in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico and El Paso County, Texas .. 3-352
3.8-7	Habitat Requirements, Range, and Presence/Absence of Suitable Habitat Within the Project Area for Federal/State Endangered, Threatened, Candidate, Rare, and Species of Concern Mammals Potentially Occurring in Sierra and Doña Ana Counties, New Mexico and El Paso County, Texas .. 3-355
3.8-8	Presence or Absence of Suitable Habitat for Listed Plant Species at the Project Features..... 3-358
3.8-9	Presence/Absence of Suitable Habitat for Listed Amphibian and Reptile Species at the Reservoirs, River Corridor, and Treatment Plant Project Features..... 3-360

Tables	Page
3.8-10	Presence/Absence of Suitable Habitat for Listed Amphibian and Reptile Species at Water Reservoir, Aqueduct, and Well Site Project Features 3-361
3.8-11	Presence or Absence of Suitable Habitat for Listed Fish at Aquatic Project Features 3-362
3.8-12	Presence or Absence of Suitable Habitat for Listed Bird Species at the Project Features 3-363
3.8-13	Presence/Absence of Suitable Habitat for Listed Mammal Species at Reservoir, River Corridor and Treatment Plant Project Features 3-365
3.8-14	Changes in Area (Acres) of Water Less than 6 Inches Deep for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 1 3-379
3.8-15	Monthly Changes in Bottom Area Exposed (Acres) for Median Operational Flows for the Preferred Alternative, Phase 1 3-379
3.9-1	Recreation Facilities and Opportunities at Elephant Butte, Caballo, Percha, and Leasburg Recreation Areas 3-394
3.11-1	Characteristics of Roadways Potentially Affected by Construction of Proposed Project Features 3-413
3.11-2	Construction Duration and Estimated Daily Vehicle Trips for Each Proposed Facility During Phase 1 3-418
3.11-3	Expected Additional Traffic During the Phase 1 Construction Period 3-419
3.11-4	Expected Increase in Existing ADT and Expected LOS During Phase 1 Construction 3-420
3.11-5	Estimated Number of Staff Required to Operate Proposed Facilities 3-422
3.13-1	Demographic Data for El Paso and Doña Ana Counties 3-428
3.14-1	Estimated Project Construction Cost, Phase 1 3-444
3.14-2	Estimated Value of Crop Reductions for El Paso County and the Doña Ana and Sierra Counties Region (Phases 1, 2, and 3) 3-451
3.14-3	Estimated Value of Crop Reductions for El Paso County and the Doña Ana and Sierra Counties Region (River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative: Phases 1, 2, and 3) 3-453
3.14-4	Estimated Value of Crop Reductions for El Paso County and the Doña Ana and Sierra Counties Region (River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative: Phases 1, 2, and 3) 3-459
3.16-1	Typical Noise Levels During Construction 3-466
3.17-1	Health-Based Violations of Community Water Systems in El Paso and Doña Ana Counties 3-470
4.4-1	Environmental Impact Summary for the Preferred Alternative and Other Action Alternatives 4-11
5.3-1	Number of Oral and Written Comments Received From the Public During Project Scoping 5-4
5.4-1	Public Outreach Activities 5-6
5.4-2	Technical Reports and DEIS Reviewing Agencies 5-9

Figures	Page
2.2-1	100-Acre Area-of-Interest for the Hatch Area WTP Site..... 2-15
2.2-2	Site Plan for the Hatch Area WTP..... 2-17
2.2-3	Membrane Treatment Process with an Open Intake..... 2-18
2.2-4	Hatch Area WTP Transmission Lines 2-20
2.2-5	Las Cruces Area WTP 2-23
2.2-6	Site Plan for a 34-MGD Membrane Las Cruces Area WTP with an Open Intake..... 2-26
2.2-7	Transmission Line Requirements for the Las Cruces Area WTP Rio Grande at I-10 WTP Site 2-27
2.2-8	Anthony Area WTP Site: El Paso Energy Company Parcel 2-31
2.2-9	Anthony Area Site Plan for a 16-MGD WTP on the EPEC Site 2-33
2.2-10	Anthony Area 16-MGD WTP Transmission Lines 2-35
2.2-11	Upper Valley WTP Site..... 2-38
2.2-12	Upper Valley WTP Parcel Map 2-40
2.2-13	Upper Valley WTP Membrane Filtration Facilities Schematic Diagram..... 2-41
2.2-14	Upper Valley WTP Membrane Filtration Facilities 2-42
2.2-15	Upper Valley WTP Conventional Filtration Facilities Schematic Diagram 2-43
2.2-16	Upper Valley WTP Conventional Filtration Facilities 2-44
2.2-17	Canutillo Water Transmission Main Preferred Alignment..... 2-46
2.2-18	Jonathan Rogers WTP Membrane Treatment Plant Expansion from 60 to 80 MGD..... 2-49
2.2-19	Jonathan Rogers WTP Membrane Treatment Plant Expansion from 60 to 80 MGD..... 2-50
2.2-20	Example of a Conceptual Diversion Structure Design 2-53
2.2-21	Proposed El Paso Aqueduct Route 2-57
2.2-22	Embayment Along River..... 2-73
2.2-23	Backwater Pond with Backbay at River 2-74
2.2-24	Backwater Slough Created with River Water 2-75
2.2-25	Phase 1 Construction Schedule and Work Force for the Preferred Alternative..... 2-91
2.4-1	Conceptual Locations of Transmission Lines to the Anthony Area from the 96-MGD Upper Valley WTP..... 2-106
2.4-2	Phase 1 Construction Schedule and Work Force for the River with Combined Plant Alternative 2-115
2.5-1	Conceptual Las Cruces Area WTP Leasburg Site..... 2-121
2.5-2	Transmission Line Requirements (Las Cruces Area WTP Leasburg Site) 2-123
2.5-3	New Mexico–Texas Aqueduct Proposed Alignment..... 2-126
2.5-4	Phase 1 Construction Schedule and Work Force for the Aqueduct with Local Plants Alternative 2-135
2.6-1	Phase 1 Construction Schedule and Work Force for the Aqueduct with Combined Plant Alternative 2-141
3.3-1	Schematic of the Rio Grande under Baseline Conditions..... 3-23
3.3-2	Approximate Water-Quality Zones in the Mesilla Valley Shallow Aquifer and Mesilla Bolson, Near Mesilla Dam..... 3-39
3.3-3	Hydrographs of Observation Wells Near Berino, 1973-76..... 3-41

Figures	Page
3.3-4	Annual February Water Levels in Selected Observation Wells in the Mesilla Valley, 1946-1985..... 3-41
3.3-5	Hydrographs of Four Wells in the Hueco Bolson Aquifer 3-44
3.3-6	Hydrographs of Shallow Observation Wells, Shallow Alluvium Aquifer of the Hueco Bolson in the El Paso Valley..... 3-44
3.3-7	Ground Water Quality in the Shallow Alluvium Aquifer of the Hueco Bolson in the El Paso Valley Within the Depth Interval 0-200 Feet 3-49
3.3-8	Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 1 3-83
3.3-9	Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 1 3-84
3.3-10	Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 1 3-85
3.3-11	Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 1..... 3-89
3.3-12	Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 1 3-90
3.3-13	Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 2 3-94
3.3-14	Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 2 3-95
3.3-15	Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 2 3-96
3.3-16	Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 2..... 3-99
3.3-17	Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 2..... 3-100
3.3-18	Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 3 3-105
3.3-19	Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 3 3-106
3.3-20	Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 3 3-107
3.3-21	Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 3..... 3-110
3.3-22	Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and Preferred Alternative – Phase 3..... 3-111
3.3-23	Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Alternatives, Phase 1, Layer 1 3-113
3.3-24	Las Cruces Area: No Action Alternative vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 1: Layers 1, 2, and 3 3-114
3.3-25	Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Alternatives, Phase 1, Layer 2 3-115
3.3-26	Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Alternatives, Phase 1, Layer 3 3-116

Figures	Page
3.3-27	Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Alternatives, Phase 2, Layer 1..... 3-117
3.3-28	Las Cruces Area: No Action Alternative vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 2: Layer 1, 2, and 3..... 3-118
3.3-29	Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Alternatives, Phase 2, Layer 2..... 3-119
3.3-30	Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 2, Layer 3 3-120
3.3-31	Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Phase 3, Layer 1 3-121
3.3-32	Las Cruces Area: No Action Alternative vs. Preferred Alternative, Phase 3, Layer 1, 2, and 3..... 3-122
3.3-33	Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Alternatives, Phase 3, Layer 2..... 3-123
3.3-34	Ground Water Elevation Difference Between No Action and Preferred Alternatives, Phase 3, Layer 3..... 3-124
3.3-35	Change in Average Flow Between the No Action Alternative and the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 1 3-139
3.3-36	Change in Average Wet Year Flow Between the No Action Alternative and the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 1 3-140
3.3-37	Change in Average Dry Year Flow Between the No Action Alternative and the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 1 3-141
3.3-38	Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years Between No Action Alternative and River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 1 3-144
3.3-39	Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years Between the No Action Alternative and the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 1 3-145
3.3-40	Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years Between the No Action Alternative and the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 2 3-150
3.3-41	Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years Between the No Action Alternative and the River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative – Phase 3 3-151
3.3-42	Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 1 3-177
3.3-43	Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 1 3-178
3.3-44	Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 1 3-179
3.3-45	Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 1..... 3-182
3.3-46	Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 1 3-183

Figures	Page
3.3-47	Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives– Phase 2..... 3-186
3.3-48	Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 2..... 3-187
3.3-49	Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 2..... 3-188
3.3-50	Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternative – Phase 2..... 3-191
3.3-51	Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 2..... 3-192
3.3-52	Change in Average Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 3..... 3-196
3.3-53	Change in Average Wet Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternative – Phase 3..... 3-197
3.3-54	Change in Average Dry Year Flow – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 3..... 3-198
3.3-55	Change in TDS Concentration in Average Years – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 3..... 3-201
3.3-56	Change in TDS Concentration in Dry Years – No Action Alternative and Aqueduct with Local or Combined Plants Alternatives – Phase 3..... 3-202
3.5-1	Secondary Irrigation Season Flows, 50% Exceedance, December – Phase 3, 2030..... 3-234
3.5-2	Primary Irrigation Season Flows, 50% Exceedance, June – Phase 3, 2030 3-236
3.5-3	Surface Area of Reservoir Less Than 4 Feet Deep by Reservoir Elevation Elephant Butte Reservoir 3-237
3.5-4	Surface Area of Reservoir Less Than 4 Feet Deep by Reservoir Elevation Caballo Reservoir..... 3-239
3.5-5	Wetted Perimeter Average of All Transects Combined..... 3-242

Maps		Page
1.3-1	Project Area	1-5
2.2-1	Preferred Alternative–River with Local Plants	2-13
2.2-2	Map of River Reaches	2-81
2.3-1	River with Year-Round Lower Plants Alternative	2-93
2.4-1	River with Combined Plant Alternative	2-103
2.5-1	Aqueduct With Local Plants Alternative	2-117
2.6-1	Aqueduct With Combined Plant Alternative.....	2-137
3.3-1	Location of the Study Area	3-5
3.3-2	River Reaches.....	3-21